WYOMING

Park Operational Base Summary: The table below shows the annual park operating base for all park units within this state. Park operational base funds are supplemented by as yet undetermined amounts of project funding from regional or servicewide-managed programs, such as cyclic maintenance, the Natural Resources Preservation Program, and the Drug Enforcement Program.

If a park unit is in more than one state, then the park unit is included in each of the appropriate state tables. The full operating base is shown; no attempt has been made to split the park operating base amount between two or more states.

	(dollars in thousands)				
			FY 2006	FY 2006	
Congress'l	FY 2004	FY 2005	Uncontrol	Program	FY 2006
District Park Units	Enacted	Estimate	Changes	Changes	Request
00 Bighorn Canyon NRA	2,616	3,078	74	0	3,152
00 Devils Tower NM	768	849	26	0	875
00 Fort Laramie NHS	1,196	1,230	34	0	1,264
FY 2005 Visitor Service Increase 1	0	87	0	0	87
Total Fort Laramie NHS	[1,196]	[1,317]	[34]	[0]	[1,351]
00 Fossil Butte NM	520	679	14	0	693
00 Grand Teton NP	9,351	10,018	308	0	10,326
FY 2005 Visitor Service Increase 1	0	157	0	0	157
Total Grand Teton NP	[9,351]	[10,175]	[308]	[0]	[10,483]
00 John D Rockefeller Jr Mem Parkway	461	474	10	0	484
00 Yellowstone NP	28,093	29,208	860	0	30,068
FY 2005 Visitor Service Increase 1	0	637	0	0	637
Total Yellowstone NP	[28,093]	[29,845]	[860]	[0]	[30,705]

All FY 2006 increases consist of uncontrollable funding related to pay and benefits. Fleet and management efficiency savings have yet to be distributed at the park level.

This table does not include funding for Trails and Other Affiliated Areas that are not park units, nor programs from other appropriations such as General Management Plans, Land Acquisition, Line Item Construction, Federal Lands Highway Program, and Historic Preservation Fund State Grants. Information on the distribution of funds to these entities and programs is outlined on the following pages. There are separate sections on General Management Plans and the Trails Management Program.

¹ These funds are part of a total \$12.478 million distributed to 67 parks, 10 trails, 3 affiliated areas, and servicewide trail GIS support that was provided in FY 2005 to bolster visitor services. These funds are not considered a permanent addition to any of the parks' operational base funding. The continuation of these funds beyond FY 2005 is contingent upon a review of park base operations at all parks prior to distribution of the enacted FY 2006 appropriation. Should this examination determine that the funds could be more efficiently utilized to provide services at other parks, the funds will be moved (subject to reprogramming guidelines).

WYOMING

FY 2006 Programmatic Park Base Increases

NONE

WYOMING

Trails and Other Affiliated Areas Operational Base Summary: The table below shows the annual operating base for all Trails and Other Field Offices and Affiliated Areas that are not park units, within this state.

If a trail or affiliated area is in more than one state, it is included in each of the appropriate state tables. The full operational base is shown; no attempt has been made to split the operational base between two or more states.

	(dollars in thousands)				
	'		FY 2006	FY 2006	
	FY 2004	FY 2005	Uncontrol	Program	FY 2006
Trails and Affiliated Areas	Enacted	Estimate	Changes	Changes	Request
California NHT	246	253	0	0	253
Mormon Pioneer NHT	125	128	0	0	128
Oregon NHT	213	219	8	0	227
FY 2005 Visitor Services Increase ¹	0	34	0	0	34
Total Oregon NHT	[213]	[253]	[8]	[0]	[261]
Pony Express NHT	177	182	0	0	182

FY 2006 fleet and management efficiency savings have yet to be distributed at the entity level.

This table does not include funding for programs from other appropriations such as General Management Plans, Land Acquisition, Line Item Construction, Federal Lands Highway Program, and Historic Preservation Fund State Grants. Information on the distribution of funds in these programs is outlined on the following pages. There are separate sections on General Management Plans and the Trails Management Program.

¹These funds are part of a total \$12.478 million distributed to 67 parks, 10 trails, 3 affiliated areas, and servicewide trail GIS support that was provided in FY 2005 to bolster visitor services. These funds are not considered a permanent addition to any of the parks' operational base funding. The continuation of these funds beyond FY 2005 is contingent upon a review of park base operations at all parks prior to distribution of the enacted FY 2006 appropriation. Should this examination determine that the funds could be more efficiently utilized to provide services at other parks, the funds will be moved (subject to reprogramming guidelines).

WYOMING (IMR) FY 2006 Proposed Program

(dollars in thousands)

PROGRAMS AND PROJECTS FUNDED OUTSIDE OF THE OPERATING ACCOUNT:

GENERAL MANAGEMENT PLANS (See GMP section for further information)

Grand Teton NP Potential New Start

SPECIAL STUDIES (See GMP section for further information)

Study Area	Type of Projec
Grand Teton NP, Bison/Elk Management EIS	Ongoing Study
Grand Teton NP, Winter Use Plan/EIS Yellowstone NP, Bison EIS Yellowstone/Grand Teton NP, Winter	Ongoing Study Ongoing Study
Use Plan/EIS	Ongoing Study

LAND ACQUISITION

None

CONSTRUCTION: LINE ITEM CONSTRUCTION (see attached)

Park Area	Type of Project	<u>Funds</u>
	Rehabilitate 13 Historic Buildings for Western	
Grand Teton NP	Preservation Center at White Grass Dude Ranch	\$1,673
Yellowstone NP	Replace Old Faithful Visitor Center	\$11,175
Yellowstone NP	Restore West Wing of Old House at Old Faithful Inn	\$11,118
Yellowstone NP	Replace Madison Wastewater Facilities	\$4,114

PROPOSED FEDERAL LANDS HIGHWAY PROGRAM (subject to change pending program reauthorization)

Park Area	Project Title	<u>Funds</u>
Grand Teton National Park	Rehabilitate Road	\$130
Grand Teton National Park	Rehabilitate Road	\$3,500

HISTORIC PRESERVATION FUND: STATE GRANTS

State apportionment: \$546

STATE CONSERVATION GRANTS

None

National Park Service PROJECT DATA SHEET

Project Score/Ran	king:	645
Planned Funding	FY:	2006
Funding Source:	Line Item Construction	

Project Identification

Project T	itle: Rehabilitate 13 Historic Buildings for Western Preservation Center at White Gras	Dude Ranch			
Project No	Project No: 094384 Unit/Facility Name: Grand Teton National Park				
Region:					
Intermoun					
tain	Congressional District: AL	State: WY			

Project Justification

FCI-Before: 0.45 FCI-Projected: 0.00 API: 21

Project Description: In partnership with the National Trust, Grand Teton National Park proposes to rehabilitate and adaptively reuse the 13 historic buildings at White Grass Dude Ranch, located west of the park's headquarters, as a Western Preservation Center for Training and Technology. The Center will teach National Park Service employees and volunteers how to preserve, rehabilitate, and find new sustainable uses for historic rustic buildings in national parks in the Intermountain Region, initially focusing on structures in Grand Teton and Yellowstone national parks. These structures are in poor condition, including major deterioration to the roofs, sill logs, and foundations. These structures will be rehabilitated and adaptively reused for office space, a classroom, a shop, and housing for Center employees and trainees. In addition, utilities, including water, sewage, and electric, will be updated, and the historic road/entrance will be stabilized. Access to the center will be via a newly constructed short spur road from the existing adjacent Death Canyon road. The exact furnishings needed for the Center are undetermined at this time.

The park will submit a separate funding proposal for this component in the future. It is estimated this one-time project will take up to 3 years to complete using park personnel, contractors, and volunteer laborers. The project will begin once funding is acquired, and it will be the first Center project to provide "hands-on" training. Harrison Goodall, a log expert and park contractor, conducted condition assessments and cost estimates for the 13 buildings in October of 2002.

Project Need/Benefit: The need for NPS employees in Grand Teton and the Intermountain Region to learn historic preservation technology and techniques for rustic architecture is immediate. The training, technology, and skills necessary to preserve, rehabilitate, restore, and maintain rustic structures are simply not available in today's western parks and surrounding communities. A center to develop those skills is necessary to address a large backlog of preservation and maintenance needs for rustic buildings park- and region-wide. The adaptive reuse of White Grass, the third oldest dude ranch in Jackson Hole Valley, will save the rustic log buildings from becoming irretrievable ruins, and it will eliminate the hazardous and unsafe conditions that currently exist at the abandoned buildings. White Grass Dude Ranch was listed in the National Register of Historic Places in April 1990. It is significant locally, if not regionally, because as a dude ranch it helped define and set the standards for the

Ranking Categories: Identify the percent of the project that is in the following categories of need.

10 % Critical Health or Safety Deferred Maintenance

- 15 % Critical Mission Deferred Maintenance
- 0 % Critical Health or Safety Capital Improvement
- 10 % Compliance & Other Deferred Maintenance
- 65 % Critical Resource Protection Deferred Maintenance
- 0 % Other Capital Improvement

0 % Critical Resource Protection Capital Improvement

Capital Asset Planning 300B Analysis Required: YES: NO: X Total Project Score: 645

Project Costs and Status

Project Cost	\$'s	%	Project Funding History:	
Estimate: Deferred Maintenance	\$1,673,000	100	Appropriated to Date: \$	0
Work : Capital Improvement	\$0	0	Requested in FY 2006 Budget: \$	1,673,000
Work: Total Component	\$1,673,000	100	Required to Complete Project: \$	0
Estimate: Class of Estimate:	В		Project Total: \$	1,673,000
Estimate Good Until:	09/30/06			
Dates:	Sch'd (qtr/fy)		Project Data Sheet	Unchanged Since
Construction Start/Awar	rd 1/2006		Prepared/Last Updated: 1/20/2005	Departmental Approval:
Project Complete:	4/2007			YES: NO: X

_ / ······au. Oporatione Goote					
		NEL			
Current:	Projected:	Change:	\$		
\$ 5,000	\$ 160,000	155,000			

National Park Service PROJECT DATA SHEET

Project Score/Ranking:		290
Planned Funding I	FY:	2006
Funding Source:	Line Item Construction	

Project Identification

Project Ti	itle: Replace Old Faithful Visitor Center		
Project No	o: 069189	Unit/Facility Name: Yellowstone National F	Park
Region:	Congressional District: AL		State: WY
Intermoun			
tain			

Project Justification

FCI-Before: 0.1 FCI-Projected: 0.00

Project Description: This project will replace the current deficient visitor center with a new, state-of-the-art, visitor education center to provide critical visitor information and orientation services and enhance resource and visitor protection through interpretive exhibits and educational programs. The new center will be 33,300 square feet and will include a lobby with indoor and outdoor orientation areas with interactive kiosks, restrooms, a bookstore, a backcountry permit office, two theaters, indoor and outdoor exhibit areas (including dedicated space in which to exhibit artwork and other objects from the park's museum collection), a classroom for educational programs, a geothermal research library, and administrative space. The facility is being designed to allow for gradual opening and closing of various spaces in order to accommodate seasonal fluctuations in visitation and to reduce operating costs during non-peak periods. The building will be fully accessible, energy efficient, sustainable in design, and built using "green" construction materials and techniques.

The estimated total project cost for the new facility is \$27,947,000. Of this amount, the nonprofit Yellowstone Park Foundation is committed to raising \$15 million. The remaining \$12,947,000 is the responsibility of the National Park Service (NPS) and is to be funded through construction planning accounts and this request.

Project Need/Benefit: The current visitor center is substantially outdated; it cannot accommodate existing or anticipated levels of visitation to the area (85% of the park's 3.2 million visitors, i.e., 2.6 million people annually, visit the Old Faithful area); it offers no interpretive exhibits; it is energy inefficient; auditorium seating is grossly inadequate; there is no room for backcountry permit operations; and interior spaces are so tiny that the vast majority of visitors do not receive assistance because they cannot get into the building due to overflow crowd conditions. As a result, visitors currently leave the Old Faithful area confused and without an understanding of the significance of the park or the fact that they are n the midst of the largest concentration of active geysers on Earth. The new visitor center will provide critical visitor services including information and orientation necessary to successfully and safely visit the park and the Upper Geyser Basin (the geyser basin in which Old Faithful Geyser and 200 other active geysers are found), and interpretation and education focused on the rare and outstanding geothermal phenomena of Yellowst Currently, there are no exhibits to interpret Yellowstone's geothermal features, which are considered one of Yellowstone's primary/premiere resources and were a significant factor in the park's establishment. Unlike the existing structure, the replacement visitor center will fit gracefully nto the historic Old Faithful landscape, and it will reflect the importance and value of its surroundings.

Ranking Categories: Identify the percent of the project that is in the following categories of need.

- 15 % Critical Health or Safety Deferred Maintenance
- 15 % Critical Mission Deferred Maintenance
- 0 % Critical Health or Safety Capital Improvement
- 5 % Compliance & Other Deferred Maintenance
- 0 % Critical Resource Protection Deferred Maintenance 0 % Critical Resource Protection Capital Improvement
- 65 % Other Capital Improvement

Capital Asset Planning 300B Analysis Required: YES: X NO: Total Project Score: 290

Project Costs and Status

Project Cost	\$'s	%	Project Funding History:	
Estimate: Deferred Maintenance Work :	\$8,381,000	75	Appropriated to Date: \$	0
Capital Improvement Work:	\$2,794,000	25	Requested in FY 2006 Budget: \$	11,175,000
Total Component Estimate:	\$11,175,000	100	Required to Complete Project: \$	0
Class of Estimate: Estimate Good Until:	C 09/30/06		Project Total: \$	11,175,000
Dates:	Sch'd (qtr/fy)		Project Data Sheet	Unchanged Since
Construction Start/Awar	d 3 / 2006		Prepared/Last Updated: 1/20/2005	Departmental Approval:
Project Complete:	4 / 2008			YES: NO: X

		MEL	
Current:	Projected:	Change:	\$
\$ 336,000	\$ 682,000	346,000	

National Park Service PROJECT DATA SHEET

Project Score/Ranking:	925
Planned Funding FY:	2006
Funding Source: Line Item Construction	

Project Identification

Project Title: Restor	e West Wing of Old House at Old	Faithful Inn	
Project No: 083977		Unit/Facility Name: Yellowstone National Park	
Region:	Congressional District: AL		State: WY
Intermountain			

Project Justification

FCI-Before: 0.37 FCI-Projected: 0.10 API: 38

Project Description: This project will upgrade utility intrastructure, provide structural stabilization, and improve fire/safety in the West Wing of the Old House at the Old Faithful Inn including Wuthering Heights and Bats' Alley. Original walls will be reinstalled and new walls added to provide compliance with current zone-four seismic requirements. Historically compatible improvements meeting ADA requirements and correcting life/safety deficiencies will be made. Fire systems will be upgraded; improvements will be made to correct fire ratings for the corridors, public spaces and guest rooms. The sprinkler system, electrical and mechanical infrastructures will be upgraded. The West Wing elevator will be replaced. ADA-compliant rooms and restrooms, and areas of refuge will be constructed. The architectural features of the public space and the guestrooms shall not be altered other than to improve the quality of the original historic fabric both on the interior and exterior of the building. The mechanical and electrical systems, including the fire suppression system, shall be buried in the floors and walls to minimize the impact on the architectural character of the building.

Original lighting shall be retained but rewired. The antiquated and outdated systems shall be replaced and upgraded to meet current life/safety codes. The building shall be upgraded structurally to meet seismic requirements while still retaining the architectural character of the spaces. The mechanical equipment space in Wuthering Heights will be rehabilitated. Bat's Alley will be rehabilitated to house today's administrative needs. West Wing and kitchen roofs will be replaced. Dormers will be reconstructed upgrading the framing.

Project Need/Benefit: The Old Faithful Inn, a National Historic Landmark, is a distinctive example of rustic style architecture. The Inn includes a total of 327 guest rooms with total guest occupancy of 1,044. The Old House has retained most of its original architecture and historical integrity but has deteriorated due to deferred maintenance and the age of its building systems. Substantial rehabilitation and preservation maintenance has occurred at the Old Faithful Inn since 1980, but very little work has been accomplished in the Old House. Since 1904, the building has experienced some of the most severe gravity and lateral loading in the lower 48 states. The building is subject to winter snow loads in excess of 100 psf. The building has also lived through several earthquakes in one of the most active seismic areas in the United States. Electrical, mechanical, fire sprinkler and fire alarm systems in the West Wing are at the end of their useful life and do not meet current fire/life safety requirements.

This project will protect the resource, reduce life/safety risks, and rehabilitate or replace deteriorated historic fabric. This work will ensure preservation of this significant cultural resource and reduce the life/safety risks to the overnight guests housed in the Inn.

Ranking Categories: Identify the percent of the project that is in the following categories of need.

- 75 % Critical Health or Safety Deferred Maintenance
- 0 % Critical Mission Deferred Maintenance
- 0 % Critical Health or Safety Capital Improvement
- 0 % Compliance & Other Deferred Maintenance
- 25 % Critical Resource Protection Deferred Maintenance $$ 0 % Other Capital Improvement
- 0 % Critical Resource Protection Capital Improvement

Capital Asset Planning 300B Analysis Required: YES: X NO:

Total Project Score: 925

Project Costs and Status

Project \$'s	%	Project Funding History:	
Cost			ļ
Estimate:			ļ
Deferred	\$11,118,000	100 Appropriated to Date: \$ 0	ļ
Maintenanc			ļ
e Work : Capital	\$0	0 Requested in FY 2006 Budget: \$ 11,118,000	
Improveme			
nt Work [.] Total	\$11,118,000	100 Required to Complete Project: \$ 0	
Project			
Estimate: Class of Estimate:	С	Project Total: \$ 11,118,000	
Estimate Good Until:	09/30/06	110ject 10tal. \$11,110,000	
Dates:	Sch'd (qtr/fy)	Project Data Sheet Unchanged Since	
Construction Start/Awa	rd 2/2006	Prepared/Last Updated: 1/20/2005 Departmental Approx	/al:
Project Complete:	4 / 2007	YES: NO: X	

Current:	Projected:	Net	
\$ O	\$ 0	Change:	\$
		0	

National Park Service PROJECT DATA SHEET

Project Score/Ran	king:	865
Planned Funding F	FY:	2006
Funding Source:	Line Item Construction	

Project Identification

Project T	itle: Replace Madison Wastewater Facilities		
Project No	o : 019892	Unit/Facility Name: Yellowstone National P	ark
Region:	Congressional District: 00		State: WY
Intermoun	_		
tain			

Project Justification

FCI-Before: 0.14 FCI-Projected: 0.05 API: 34

Project Description: This project would replace the existing seasonal-use, trickling-filter wastewater treatment facility at the Madison Area with a year-round lagoon system that can effectively treat various flow rates at widely divergent ambient temperatures. The capacity is presently estimated to be 150,000 gallons per day. This project would also replace and/or rehabilitate the percolation disposal system to handle all seasons and flows and would provide for storage and/or standby power to prevent overflows during power outages or equipment failure in order to prevent sewage spills into the Madison River

Project Need/Benefit: The existing treatment system was constructed around 1959. The system's original treatment methods and equipment have not worked well with variable seasonal uses and the climate. Modifications were made in 1966, 1974 and 1984 to try to improve the operation of the plant and to meet increasing uses. The equipment is worn out and a major failure is anticipated. The treatment is marginal during the summer season, and the plant is not capable of running from October to May, despite nearly 87,000 people stopping at the Madison warming hut and restroom during the winter season. Raw sewage is stored during this period until the liquids can be manually pumped to the percolation ponds. The solids remain untreated in the holding pond. The system has no backup power or overflow tanks to handle the sewage flow during equipment failure or power outages. Both situations occur and the partially treated sewage runs to a meadow that drains by the campground to the Madison River. Minor failures have resulted in the closure of the campground and picnic area comfort stations.

The anticipated major failure would result in the closure of the 300-site concessionaire-operated campground, the picnic area, the museum, and the housing and administrative area that serves these facilities. The winter warming hut and comfort station would also be shut down. Permanent employees would have to be moved to other areas of the park. Major failure would also contaminate the environment and degrade the water quality in the Madison River.

Ranking Categories: Identify the percent of the project that is in the following categories of need.

- 75 % Critical Health or Safety Deferred Maintenance
- 0 % Critical Mission Deferred Maintenance
- 0 % Critical Health or Safety Capital Improvement
- 15 % Compliance & Other Deferred Maintenance
- 10 % Critical Resource Protection Deferred Maintenance
 0 % Critical Resource Protection Capital Improvement
- 0 % Other Capital Improvement

Capital Asset Planning 300B Analysis Required: YES: NO: x

Total Project Score: 865

Project Costs and Status

Project Cost	\$'s	%	E	Project Funding History:			
Estimate: Deferred Maintenance Work :		\$4,114,000	100	Appropriated to Date:	\$	0	
Capital Improvement Work:		\$0	0	Requested in FY 2006 Budget:	\$	4,114,000	
Total Project Estimate:		\$4,114,000	100 F	Required to Complete Project:	\$	0	
Class of Estimate:	В		F	Project Total:	\$	4,114,000	
Estimate Good Until:	9/30/06						
Dates:	Sch'd (qtr/yy)		F	Project Data Sheet	U	Jnchanged Since	
Construction Start/Awa	rd 4/2006		F	Prepared/Last Updated: 1/19/05		Departmental	
Project Complete:	4 / 2009				,	Approval: YES:	NO:

Current:	Projected:	Net	
\$ 72,000	\$85,000	Change:	\$
		13.000	